

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,559 03/01/2002		03/01/2002	Richard P. Lamothe	4341-32-1 2177	
7590 07/13/2005			EXAM	INER	
McCormick	, Pauldir	ng & Huber	PETERSON, KENNETH E		
City Place II			•		D. DED MIN (DED
185 Asylum Street				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Hartford, CT 06103-3402				3724	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
•
"
~

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/087,559	LAMOTHE, RICHARD P.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kenneth E. Peterson	3724		

Defense the Fillian of an Americal Defen	LAWOTHE, RICHARD P.		(U P.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	a) and the appropriate exte The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have in fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See attached sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted in a separate	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>24-26,29 and 31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>30</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
		KENNETH E. PETEI	PEON				
		PRIMARY EXAMIN	NGUN NFR				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Page 2

Applicant's amendment, received after the three month response period on 11 may 05, has been reviewed.

It is noted that the feature of horizontal adjustment of take-up rollers exists solely in the species of figure 2, which is non-elected. Therefor, claim 30 stands non-elected and withdrawn.

As seen in Applicant's specification, the non-elected species of figure 2 has a roller (160) which is movable in the horizontal direction. The elected species of figure 3 has a similar roller (260), but explicitly states that this roller is fixed. Figure 3 also has an upper roller (234) which is movable, but not explicitly movable in the horizontal direction.

Since Applicant's amendment cancels all elected claims, it is not enterable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between 7:30am and 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached at 571-272-4514. In lieu of mailing, Applicants are encouraged to fax responses to 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. For more info on the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kp

11-Jul-05

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER